1	at that time.
2	MR. TITUS: They're mostly girls.
3	MR. LYON: You are not permitted
4	to speak unless you're on the witness stand.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: And you have
6	permission from your attorney.
7	BY MR. LYON:
8	Q All right. You commented
9	regarding Ms. Novick-Brown. What court
10	rejected her testimony?
11	A It was a court in Florida.
12	Q Which one?
13	A I don't recall. I used to have
14	the document. I don't have it anymore.
15	Q And what was the ethical problem?
16	A I believe it was, if I recall
17	correctly I think it was billing for more
18	hours than what she had actually put in.
19	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
20	we'll be happy to provide those documents.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm not sure if
22	we're going to need it. Let's see where we're

1	going.
2	THE WITNESS: That was one of the
3 ,	problems.
4	BY MR. LYON:
5	Q Was there another one that you're
6	aware of?
7	A Yes. She allegedly had some sort
8	of a relationship with somebody she was
9	representing that she didn't notify the court
10	about.
11	Q Anything else?
12	A I believe that's it. When we were
13	reviewing her application, those were the
14	documents that were sent to us.
15	Q Mr. Titus is not excluded from
16	anything currently other than owning firearms,
17	correct?
18	A That's correct.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: You mean as a
20	matter of law?
21	MR. LYON: As a matter of law.
22	Okay. I have nothing further. Oh, now I do

1	have one thing further.
2	BY MR. LYON:
3	Q And he has not re-offended since
4	his release from prison, correct?
5	A We don't know that. We
6	Q You have no knowledge that he has
7	re-offended since his release from prison?
8	A That's true.
9	Q He has not been arrested
10	A He has not been arrested
11	Q for any crime.
12	A for any crime since he has been
13	released from prison.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: What's that date?
15	MS. LANCASTER: '95, I believe,
16	your Honor. Off the top of my head, I believe
17	it's 1995.
18	MR. LYON: I have nothing further.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Anything further?
20	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Nothing
21	further, your Honor.
22	MS. LANCASTER: May I ask one

1	question?
2	MR. LYON: Objection, your Honor.
3	MS. LANCASTER: No, of the Court,
4	not of
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Of me?
6	MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir. Mr.
7	Lyon said something earlier about wanting, you
8	were going to make a decision at some point
9	about what was you were talking about
10	MR. LYON: I release this witness.
11	MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: The witness is
13	finished. You're finished, sir. I appreciate
14	you coming and the way in which you cooperated
15	with everything. You're now free to discuss
16	your testimony with anybody, although we'd ask
17	you not to talk to any witnesses that might be
18	coming up. I can't see the reason for that
19	anyway, but that's it. Thank you very much.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: He will have an
21	opportunity, of course, as the other witnesses

will, to review his transcript.

1	MR. LYON: If that's the
2	procedure.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: And in his
4	situation, I'm particularly interested that
5	you do it, if you wouldn't mind, sir. When
6	you get the transcript before the record is
7	closed, just review it and let us know that
8	you're satisfied with it.
9	THE WITNESS: I will, your Honor.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you, sir.
11	(Witness excused.)
ł	
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Just
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Just procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if
13	procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if
13	procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if he decides to?
13 14 15	procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if he decides to?  MR. LYON: I have no objection.
13 14 15 16	procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if he decides to?  MR. LYON: I have no objection.  MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.
13 14 15 16 17	procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if he decides to?  MR. LYON: I have no objection.  MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.  JUDGE SIPPEL: You may do as you
13 14 15 16 17 18	procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if he decides to?  MR. LYON: I have no objection.  MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.  JUDGE SIPPEL: You may do as you see fit, Detective. Thank you, sir.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	procedurally, can he sit in the courtroom, if he decides to?  MR. LYON: I have no objection.  MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay.  JUDGE SIPPEL: You may do as you see fit, Detective. Thank you, sir.  JUDGE SIPPEL: Next witness?

1	Bureau is resting.
2	MR. LYON: Okay. Your Honor, I
3	call Dr. Allmon, Doug Allmon.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: This is in
5	connection with your case in chief?
6	MR. LYON: That's correct. While
7	he's doing that, your Honor, may I take two
8	minutes for a restroom break?
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, sure. Let's
10	take, we'll take a ten-minute break.
11	MR. LYON: All right.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know that sounds
13	like a lot, but by the time he gets there.
14	Never mind. Ten minutes.
15	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
16	went off the record at 2:27 p.m. and went back
17	on the record at 2:40 p.m.)
18	MR. LYON: Dr. Allmon, would you
19	identify yourself for the record?
20	THE WITNESS: I am Douglas J.
21	Allmon, A-L-L-M-O-N.
22	WHEREUPON,

1	DOUGLAS J. ALLMON
2	was called as a witness by Counsel for David
3	L. Titus and, having been first duly sworn,
4	assumed the witness stand, was examined and
5	testified as follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. LYON:
8	Q Dr. Allmon, did you conduct a
9	psychosexual evaluation of David Lee Titus?
10	A I did.
11	Q And would you, do you have what
12	has been marked and received into evidence as
13	Titus Exhibit 2 in front of you?
14	A Is that Detective Shilling's
15	testimony?
16	Q No, the one to show that that's
17	the psychosexual evaluation.
18	A I do have the evaluations.
19	Q Okay. And that's the evaluation
20	of Mr. Titus?
21	A I have that.
22	Q Okay.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I just want to ask 1 2 one question. Dr. Allmon, is that only 3 yourself and Mr. Titus in that room? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 4 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. BY MR. LYON: 6 7 Dr. Allmon, how did you go about performing the psychosexual evaluation of Mr. 8 Titus? 9 I followed ordinary procedures. I 10 11 accomplished a phone screen initially to see what it was he was seeking of me. I had him 12 13 come to my office and conducted the intake phase of evaluation: gathering data such as 14 15 address, phone number, and other details. I 16 explained the purpose of the evaluation that 17 I sought. He agreed to that. I then moved in 1.8 to the interview phase in which I asked him to 19 state his version of events 15 to 20 years 20 ago, and he told me his memory of those 21 events. I later referred to documentation and

extracted the written version or the official

1 version. I then inquired about his growing-up years, where he was born, the circumstances of his growing up. I further inquired about his 3 school history starting at the earliest schooling. I then inquired about his work history and went into a detailed record of his sexual history with as much as detail as he could recall. I also inquired about his history of using alcohol and drugs, his criminal history, and his array of friends and intimate

associates with whom he could conduct heartto-heart conversations rather fluently. also posed some questions which are designed to identify in a broad sort of way a sort of flat disorder or mental illness.

Did you perform, did you administer any tests to --

> Α I did.

And would you describe those tests and the purposes for which they were administered?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	A A psychosexual evaluation is what
2	I was engaged to prepare, and the psychosexual
3	evaluation is very similar to a general
4	psychological examination, except that it
5	omits, in a general psychological evaluation,
6	detail such as I would take during a
7	psychosexual evaluation. It may omit what is
8	called the mental status exam, an assessment
9	of ordinary common knowledge, such as where
10	are you, what day is it, so on. And it
11	includes then a polygraph test, which I later
12	required Mr. Titus to undergo, and it excludes
13	a general measure of intelligence.
14	Q Okay. What specific tests did you
15	give Mr. Titus?
16	A I did such tests as listed in my
17	evaluation on page eight, beginning on page
18	eight.
19	Q Okay. And that would be the
20	Minnesota Multi-Phasic Personality Inventory
21	II.
22	A The first test administered was

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

the Minnesota Multi-Phasic Personality
Inventory, which is the major test which is
used in psychology to assess in a broad way
all aspects of psychological functioning.
also administered what is known as the
Michigan Alcohol Screening Test. It's a
confirmation, in this case, of Mr. Titus' lack
of predisposition to use alcohol or drugs. I
administered the Beck Depression Inventory,
known as the BDI, as a specialized test of
depression, if any. I administered what's
called a Gambril & Richie Assertion Inventory
as a measure of his ability and predisposition
to use a certain behavior to achieve his
goals. I administered the
Hanson/Gizzarelli/Scott Sexual Attitudes Test,
which is a list of statements having to do
with various aspects of sexual behavior, those
with which Mr. Titus agreed he was asked to
mark.

16-PF is like an MPI-II. It is what is called a psychometric inventory, and

it's an examination of general psychological functioning. Incomplete Sentence Blank is what is called projected test, one form of a projected test, in which he is given a series of sentences, such as "I like . . . " or, "I especially enjoy . . . " and then he's required to fill in the sentence, finish out the sentence.

Q Okay. Can you summarize your

Q Okay. Can you summarize your findings from your psychosexual evaluation?

- A Yes, I can.
- Q Please do.

A On page ten, the section of psychosexual predisposition, notice that the overall conclusion is Mr. Titus' psychological functioning is remarkable for its normalcy, it's absence of findings that are outside the normal range. Only one of the whole array of tests, and some of the tests included numerous subscales, only one of those exceeded the normal range, and that exceeded the normal range only by a fairly small factor.

1	Q Based on your psychosexual
2	evaluation, have you formed an opinion on
3	whether Mr. Titus is likely to re-offend
4	sexually?
5	A I found no evidence that he is
6	predisposed currently to behave in any
7	anomalous way, including sexually. So there
8	seems to be nothing remarkable about his
9	sexual predispositions at the present.
10	Q Okay. Let me see if I can
11	rephrase that in normal language. Would that
12	mean that you did not find him to be a
13	pedophile?
14	A I found no evidence of pedophilia
15	in Mr. Titus' data as it was arrayed during
16	this evaluation.
17	Q And is it correct that pedophilia
18	is the sexual desire for minors?
19	A There are precise diagnostic
20	requirements for that definition. But, in
21	general, your Honor, yes. It requires a
22	primary focus on children and spanning more

1	than six months. And in the current year and
2	for many years, that appears not to have been
3	Mr. Titus' case.
4	Q Now, is it true that much of your
5	findings are based upon Mr. Titus' own
6	statements, as well as the fact that he hasn't
7	been re-arrested?
8	A Yes, your Honor. Who is asking me
9	the questions? I'm not quite sure.
10	Q I'm sorry. This is George Lyon.
11	I now aspire to be an Honor but so far I'm
12	not.
13	A I couldn't see a mouth moving, and
14	I thought it was the Judge posing these last
1.5	two or three questions. Mr. Lyon, please
16	rephrase the question.
17	Q Sure. Much of your findings
18	appear to be based upon Mr. Titus own
19	statements and reported history and the fact
20	that he has not been re-arrested. And my
21	question is did the psychosexual evaluation
22	control for the potential that Mr. Titus might

have been untruthful to you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Yes, it did, in an important way. Α The psychometric inventories, the MPI-II and the 16-PF both contain what are called lie scales within the business. They are called administrative indices. The administrative indices or lie scales for Mr. Titus numbered four in this case, and they all were within the normal range, signifying that he had taken those tests in a stance of frankness and honesty. Another question was asked during the polygraph testing, which stated, "Did you try to get results when you filled out the questionnaires?" and his answer there suggested that he was being frank and honest, as well.

In addition, other questions on the polygraph testing asked him to state whether he had tried, whether he had been honest in reporting his general history, his sexual history, and his history involving the crime as an adolescent for which he was

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	arrested, and those results also came back
2	unambiguously, that he had been honest in
3	reporting his history to me.
4	Q Okay. And I want to make sure
5	that the history that you looked at included
6	both his adult conviction and his two juvenile
7	adjudications.
8	A They did.
9	Q Okay. Sir, do you know a
10	psychologist by the name of Natalie Novick-
11	Brown?
12	A Yes, I do.
13	Q Okay. And do you understand her
14	to be a respected sex offender researcher?
15	A Not a researcher so much as an
16	evaluator and clinician. She is held, by most
17	people, in the highest possible respect.
L8	She's remarkable for her numerous successes
19	and accomplishments. In short, she is an
20	admired professional in my opinion.
1	Q Do you know of any ethical lapses
2	by her?

that she has a very robust practice and that she undertakes very difficult cases. I think the statistics are that in my line of work and hers we run at least a 25 percent probability of having at least one inquiry or complaint with an ethics board or with an organizational review committee, and she may have had that. But, certainly, overall, Dr. Novick-Brown's work is, in my opinion, highly admired. And I've had ample opportunity to see her work and work with her in organizations that we've been both members of.

0 What organizations are those?

A There is an actual organization called ATSA. I'm not quite sure I can remember the acronym. It's the organization of people who dive in and treat sex offenders. They've had a chapter here in the Seattle area for decades, but that chapter did not become active until several years ago. Therefore, I and several others established an organization

whose purpose was to bring in prominent 1 national speakers to conduct training in this 2 Dr. Novick attended those trainings, 3 and I had occasion to deal with her there. 4 In addition, I served locally in 5 an organization called the Seattle Forensic 6 7 Institute, which is a fairly prestigious organization I believe, a convergence of legal 8 9 scholars and professionals in psychology and psychiatry. I served on the board of that 10 11 organization for a number of years, and she as I frequently attended, recall, 12 also 13 presentations by the Seattle Forensic 14 Institute. Okay. I'd like to go back for one 15 the 16 second to one of tests that you 17 administered. the Hansen/Gizzarelli/Scott 18 Sexual Attitudes Test. 19 А Yes. is that test designed to 20 What 21 show, if anything? Well, it's designed to show 22 Α

1	anything. It's designed to identify prominent
2	sexual peculiarities in the current era.
3	Q Would pedophilia be one of those?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the test results for the
6	Hansen test did or did not show any
7	predisposition toward pedophilia on the part
8	of Mr. Titus?
9	A No predisposition for pedophilia.
LO	I'd like to look at my copy of that inventory
L1	and make sure I'm not omitting something
L2	that's important.
L3	Q Is your copy of that test included
L4	in the packet of materials going to Ms.
L5	Lancaster? To the psychologist?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q Okay.
L8	A Sorry for the delay. These files
19	become voluminous quickly.
20	Q I can fully understand that.
21	A On that test, which may be used
22	psychometrically or maybe used as a
1	1

1	convenience in gathering data, I use it in the
2	latter way, Mr. Titus endorsed an item that
3	said, "Sex must be enjoyed by both parties,"
4	"I have sex about as often as I want to," "A
5	man can have sex outside the marriage and
6	still love his wife." "If someone likes me,
7	is it okay to have sex with them," that's not
8	explicated, but he's discussed that with me.
9	"Fondling a child without penetration can
10	still cause harm."
11	Q Okay. In your discussions with
12	Mr. Titus, did he indicate a large number of
13	sexual partners?
14	A He did.
15	Q Does that concern you with respect
16	to whether or not he may be likely to re-
17	offend sexually?
18	A Because a man is robust sexually
19	does not necessarily mean that he's a
20	pedophile or likely to engage in sex crimes.
21	And Mr. Titus' sexual history certainly is not
22	anywhere near as extensive as the sexual

1	histories of some people who simply like a lot
2	of sex but engage sexually not in any illegal
3	way.
4	Q Okay. Does the fact that he is
5	gay concern you with respect to his likelihood
6	to re-offend?
7	A No. Sexual orientation is not a
8	correlate of sex crime.
9	Q Do you have any information
LO	concerning the rate of recidivism of sex
11	offenders in the State of Washington?
12	A The State of Washington is lower.
1.3	I can't quote precisely, but I have national
14	statistics and I think I know that in
15	Washington it is considerably lower than the
16	national average.
17	Q Okay. What's the national
18	average?
19	A The national average I believe is
20	that around 12 percent of sex offenders re-
21	offend if they don't have treatment. If they
22	do have treatment and maintain treatment, the

probability of re-offense goes down to around 1 five percent. 2 For a former sex offender, 0 Okay. 3 what are the more important elements for them 4 to avoid re-offending? 5 It depends on the individual what 6 particular trigger points are. Typical things 7 that sex offenders employ are a sound and 8 thorough empathy for the impact on a victim of 9 situational certain crime or 10 any sex to avoid those kinds of circumstances go 11 places or to use ample self talk, comments 12 within his own thought process about the 13 illegality and immorality of harming another 14 person sexually. So any of those and many 15 others are common stances that the former sex 16 offender adopts and internalizes and makes use 17 of for the rest of his life. 18 Can you tell us more about the 19 self talk concepts? 20 Self talk is, I suppose informally 21 you'd define as statements or comments made to 22

oneself. For example, if I were a sex offender prone to rape women, I might say, "Well, what I'm going to do to her won't kill her," or I might say, "This is a child. I'm teaching this child something that she needs to know anyway, and so it's not a big deal." These are the kind of things that justify proceeding with the sex crime.

Q Okay. With respect to the self talk, the self talk concepts to avoid reoffending, how does that work?

A During the course of treatment, enrolling in treatment is urged to go over and over what are the most impactful and useful items that somehow he can apply in his own life to avoid re-offense, and then he's taught through many, many repetitions and rehearsals to visualize being in a situation where he might be tempted or be inclined to act out and he immediately plugs in the self talk statements, many times more than one, until he gets himself to get back on track.

1	Q Okay. Does being nonconforming,
2	in your opinion, place a former sex offender
3	at the risk of re-offending?
4	A I did tests on the nonconformity.
5	In Mr. Titus' case, as far as I'm able to
6	tell, he's quite conventional in all respects,
7	except that his orientation sexually is
8	towards male, and that is not a correlate of
9	pedophilia.
10	Q Okay. How about being resentful
11	of authority?
12	A That finding in psyche testing
13	comes up very, very frequently, and not
14	everybody who is annoyed by people in
15	authority is a sex offender. It's kind of a
16	grumpy stance that may be fairly strong and
17	prominent or it may be incidental, but it
18	doesn't necessarily predict sex crime.
19	Q Okay. In making your evaluation
20	of Mr. Titus, were you aware of an event that
21	occurred at Mercer Island?
22	A Yes, I was.

Did that event concern you? 1 Well, it would have concerned me. 2 Apparently, it was a misunderstanding on the 3 part of the law enforcement. That matter was 4 tested by polygraph testing as I undertook the 5 evaluation for Mr. Titus, and apparently he 6 was honest that he had innocently walked in to 7 a latrine that was empty, made use of it and 8 left, and then was accosted by an officer who 9 accused him of paint-balling the facility and 10 other things that were completely irrelevant 11 to what his purpose was there. As I say, his 12 statement of what went on was supported by 13 indication of truthfulness when he underwent 14 15 polygraph testing. And did you consider a traffic 16 accident that Mr. Titus had with another 17 αf where there was some sort 18 person altercation? 19 Yes, I did. 20 And did that concern you? 21 0 Well, it would have concerned me 22